

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/537,326	Applicant(s) LAHR ET AL.
	Examiner JACOB T. MINSKEY	Art Unit 1741

All Participants:

- (1) JACOB T. MINSKEY. (3) _____.
 (2) Phillip Rice. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2011**Time:** Noon**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew J. Daniels/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1741

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: in the interview it was discussed that the previously mentioned amendments of "with no intermediate passageways" would be required to distinguish the claims over the prior art. The amended claims of extending directly from still provided a reasonable interpretation of the claims to include an intermediate section that connected the first and second gaps (a feature that is well known in the prior art). The amendment of adding this limitation to the independent claims was agreed upon.